Attorney's Docket No.: 66331.P058

<u>PATENT</u>

### **DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR FACILITATING DATA REPLICATION USING OBJECT GROUPS the specification of which

_XX_	is attached hereto. was filed onas
	was filed on as United States Application Number
	or PCT International Application Number
	and was amended on
	(if applicable)
y state that	I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 (copy attached).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(	<u>s)</u>		Priori <u>Claim</u>	-
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No

I hereby claim the benefit under title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United Rev. 03/18/96 (D2) cak

States provisional application	n(s) listed below	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	
application(s) listed below and application is not disclosed in first paragraph of Title 35, Ur	d, insofar as the subject the prior United States hited States Code, Section	•
defined in title 37, Code of Fe	ederal Regulations, Sect ate of the prior applicati	own to me to be material to patentability a ion 1.56 (copy attached) which became on and the national or PCT international
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
statements made on information statements were made with the punishable by fine or imprisor States Code and that such will or any patent issued thereon.	on and belief are believed that willful a summer, or both, under Solful false statements ma	y own knowledge are true and that all ed to be true; and further that these false statements and the like so made are section 1001 of Title 18 of the United y jeopardize the validity of the application
Full Name of Sole/First Invent	or Harry Sun	
Inventor's Signature	AA	Date <u>6/20/96</u>
Residence Redwood City,	v. California C	Citizenship <u>U.S.A.</u> (Country)
Post Office Address210 Red	Newcastle Court wood City, California 94	061

-2-

Rev. 03/18/96 (D2) cak

2-00

inventor's Signature	48.00	Date	•
			•
	(City, State)	•	(Country)
Post Office Address			
			·
Full Name of Seven	th/Joint Inventor		
	th/Joint Inventor		
Inventor's Signature		Date	
Inventor's Signature		Date	

## Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 <u>Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability.</u>

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

Rev. 03/18/96 (D2) cak

-5-

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Attorney's Docket No.: 66331.P058

**PATENT** 

### **DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post o	ffice address and citizens	ship are as stated below, next	to my na	ame.
which is claimed and	for which a patent is so APPARATUS FOR FACILE	rentor (if only one name is list times are listed below) of the ught on the invention entitled TATING DATA REPLICATION US	e subjec	t matter
XX	is attached hereto. was filed on United States Applica or PCT International and was amended o	ation Number Application Number		as 
	and has amonaca o	(if applicable)	1	•
I acknowledge the dudefined in Title 37, C I hereby claim foreig (d), of any foreign apidentified below any	g the claim(s), as amend ity to disclose all information ode of Federal Regulation in priority benefits unde	stand the contents of the aborded by any amendment referration known to me to be mate ons, Section 1.56 (copy attach or Title 35, United States Coor inventor's certificate listed atent or inventor's certificate ty is claimed:	ed to ab rial to pa ed). de, Sect	atentability as
Prior Foreign Application	<u>(s)</u>		Priori <u>Claim</u>	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the be	nefit under title 35, Unite	ed States Code, Section 119(	e) of an	y United


States provisional application	on(s) listed below	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	· 
application(s) listed below a	nd, insofar as the su in the prior United St	States Code, Section 120 of any United States bject matter of each of the claims of this ates application in the manner provided by the Section 112.
defined in Title 37, Code of I	Federal Regulations, date of the prior app	n known to me to be material to patentability a Section 1.56 (copy attached) which became dication and the national or PCT international
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
statements made on informa statements were made with the punishable by fine or impris	ition and belief are be the knowledge that w conment, or both, und willful false statement	of my own knowledge are true and that all elieved to be true; and further that these illful false statements and the like so made are der Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United is may jeopardize the validity of the application
Full Name of Sole/First Inve	ntor Harry Sun	<u> </u>
Inventor's Signature		Date
Residence Redwood C	City, California y, State)	Citizenship U.S.A. (Country)
Post Office Address2	10 Newcastle Court edwood City, Californ	ia 94061

-2-

Rev. 03/18/96 (D2) cak

Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor <u>Benny Souder</u>
Inventor's Signature Date
Residence Belmont, California Citizenship U.S.A. (City, State) (Country)
Post Office Address1577 Winding WayBelmont, California 94002
Full Name of Third/Joint Inventor Peter Lim
Inventor's Signature Peter Lime Date Time 21, 1996
Residence Redwood Shores, California Citizenship Philippines (City, State) (Country)
Post Office Address 917 Governors Bay Drive Redwood Shores, California 94065
Full Name of Fourth/Joint Inventor
Inventor's Signature Date
Residence Citizenship (Country)
Post Office Address
Full Name of Fifth/Joint Inventor
Inventor's Signature Date
Residence Citizenship (City, State) (Country)
Post Office Address
Rev. 03/18/96 (D2) cak -3-

Full Name of Sixth/Joint Inventor		•
Inventor's Signature	Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Residence		
(City, State)		(Country)
Post Office Address		
Full Name of Seventh/Joint Inventor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Inventor's Signature	Date	
Posidonos	Citizonohin	
Residence (City, State)	Chazenship	(Country)
Post Office Address		



- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

Rev. 03/18/96 (D2) cak

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

**904**t No. 66331.P058

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pplication of

Harry A. Sun et al.

Serial No.

Group Art Unit:

Filed: Herewith

Examiner:

For:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR FACILITATING DATA REPLICATION

**USING OBJECT GROUPS** 

# POWER OF ATTORNEY AND REVOCATION OF PREVIOUS POWERS

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Oracle Corporation, a Delaware corporation having a place of business at 500 Oracle Parkway, Box 659507, Redwood Shores, California 94065, certifies that to the best of its knowledge and belief it is the assignee or is entitled to ownership of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the above-referenced patent application and represents that the undersigned is a representative authorized and empowered to sign on behalf of Oracle Corporation, which hereby revokes all powers of attorney previously given and appoints the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s): Allan M. Lowe, Reg. No. 19,641; Robert L. Price, Reg. No. 22,685; Robert E. LeBlanc, Reg. No. 17,219; Stephen A. Becker, Reg. No. 26,527; Henry Shur, Reg. No. 17,414; Israel Gopstein, Reg. No. 27,333; Benjamin J. Hauptman, Reg. No. 29,310; Kenneth E. Krosin, Reg. No. 25,735; Gilberto M. Villacorta, Reg. No. 34,038; Chittaranjan N. Nirmel, Reg. No. 30,408; Gene Z. Rubinson, Reg. No. 33,351; Frank P. Presta; Reg. No. 19,828; Keith E. George, Reg. No. 34,523;

Christopher W. Brody, Reg. No. 33,613; Alfred A. Stadnicki, Reg. No. 30,226; David L. Stewart, Reg. No. 37,578; Arthur J. Steiner, Reg. No. 26,106; Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894; Demetra J. Mills, Reg. No. 34,506; Daniel Y.J. Kim, Reg. No. 36,186; Alexander Yampolsky, Reg. No. 36,324; Timothy R. DeWitt, Reg. No. 35,857; William H. Beha, Reg. No. 38,038; Irah H. Donner, Reg. No. 35,120; Eric J. Kraus, Reg. No. 36,190; Irving R. Pellman, Reg. No. 38,737; Leon R. Turkevich, Reg. No. 34,035; Linda T. Jaron, Reg. No. 33,914; Robert M. Bauer, Reg. No. 34,487; Mark G. Toohey, Reg. No. 35,392; and Michael E. McCabe, Jr., Reg. No. 37,182, of

1 Ch

LOWE, PRICE, LEBLANC & BECKER 99 Canal Center Plaza, Suite 300 Alexandria, Virginia 22314

with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Send all future correspondence to the attention of Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894, at the above address and direct all telephone calls to the same at (408) 271-2300.

	Assignee of Interest:  Oracle Corporation
Dated:	By: Glang Wagner  Name: ALLEN B. WAGNER
	Title: SENIOR PATENT COUNSEL
	Address of Assignee of Interest:  Oracle Corporation
	500 Oracle Parkway - Box 659507
	Redwood Shores, CA 94065
	Respectfully submitted,
	LOWE, PRICE, LEBLANC & BECKER
Dated: 6/21/96	By:
	Name: Run Hickman
	Reg. No.: 35, 894
50 West San Fernando St. Suite 330 San Jose, California 95113 (408) 271-2300	